

Gone in one click


Assessing the socio-economic impact of browser-level consent in the EU

March 2026

Browser-level consent cuts cookie consent rates by at least 60-65% and reduces ad effectiveness, costing European businesses a third of their ad revenue, or EUR 40-50 billion

Third-party cookies are frequently used to share user data across applications or websites in support of ad delivery. These data are highly valuable for European businesses advertising online. In this estimation, we rely on recent empirical research findings to quantify the value at risk to advertisers from changes in the way European users accept or reject the use of such cookies.

Advertising spend and revenue in the EU Billion EUR



Consent rates fall when consumers are presented with broad one-click accept/reject options. In this study we conservatively rely on evidence from the introduction of Apple's recent app-by-app consent (ATT) showing a **60-65% reduction in consent** across seven EU countries. This is likely a conservative estimate for the effect of the consent rate reduction from browser-level consent (BLC), as Apple's ATT still requires consumers to reject or accept tracking multiple times across different apps, whereas BLC offers a one-click solution across all websites. Lower consent rates have implications for the effectiveness of digital display ads across three channels:

- Personalised advertising on the open web**, ie. excl. social media (SoMe), primarily use third-party data to personalise and can thus no longer target non-consenters, causing a shift in ad spend from personalised ads to less effective contextual ads. This latter effect increases the volume of ad spend – and revenue from contextual ads.
- Contextual ads** become less efficient toward non-consenters, as publishers cannot optimise their campaigns on measurement of ad success.
- SoMe-based advertising** primarily uses their own data to personalise ads but suffer an efficiency loss from losing the ability to track conversions from ads shown to non-consenters.

Conservatively, we estimate that money businesses make from ads (advertiser revenue) would drop by at least **EUR 40-50 bn** or **30-35%** as a result of BLC for the same advertising spend.


Every further **1%** relative **reduction in the consent rate** causes a **EUR 600-800 million** drop in annual advertiser revenue.

Our estimate relies on recent evidence from the ads market

60-65% drop in tracking rate in EU countries after App tracking Transparency (ATT)

Kraft et al. (2023) study what happened to tracking outcomes (measured by the tracking rate, i.e., the share of trackable versus untrackable traffic) after Apple introduced ATT. This study provides real-world evidence of user behaviour across seven EU markets, yielding a ~45 percentage point weighted average reduction, corresponding to a **60-65% relative reduction** in the tracking rate. This is likely a conservative estimate for the effect of BLC, as Apple's ATT still requires consumers to reject tracking multiple times across different apps, whereas BLC offers a one-click solution across all websites.

Reduction in tracking rate after Apple ATT



66% reduction in efficiency between personalised and contextual display ads

Based on a range of studies covered in [Implement's Ads Study \(2025\)](#) that show contextual ads are 50-75% less efficient than personalised ads. The most recent of these studies by Gu, Johnson & Kobayashi (2025) points to a 66% reduction in efficiency.


Efficiency difference between personalised and contextual display ads



31% increase in cost per incremental customer

Wernerfelt et al. (2024) quantify the benefit to advertisers from using offsite tracking data in their ad delivery. They conduct a large-scale, randomised experiment with more than 70,000 advertisers on Facebook and Instagram, comparing the effectiveness of campaigns under offsite conversion optimisation against click optimisation.


Cost per incremental customer



73% suggestive increase in cost per conversion observed by Meta


Aridor et al. (2025) provide suggestive evidence that advertisers are disadvantaged when they lose access to off-site tracking data for ad delivery. The authors run an event study on Meta's conversion-optimised ad campaigns around the rollout of iOS 14.5, which enabled Apple users to opt out of cross-app tracking via Apple's ATT. They report that, for conversion-optimised campaigns, the cost per conversion observed by Meta increased by about 73%.

Cost per conversion observed by Meta




A reduction in cookie consent rates due to browser-level consent affects both the composition and effectiveness of ad spend

Effects on advertiser spending Billion EUR




A reduction in the consent rate by 60-65% affects advertiser behaviour and thus the composition of ad spend.

Effects on effectiveness of ads



Relevant findings from frontier research are used to quantify the relative drop in ad efficiency, measured by the return on ad spend (ROAS).

Estimated revenue from display ads EUR billion



Estimated revenues by ad type are derived from ad spend scaled by the respective ROAS.

Note: The estimates found in the literature and cited on this page are used to quantify the relative drop in ad efficiency. Our calculations do not take into account potential dynamic effects and behavioural responses. Cost per conversion in a Meta campaign is a performance metric measuring the average cost for each desired action—such as a purchase, lead, or sign-up—resulting from the ads. Wernerfelt et al. (2024) focus on purchase events and specifically measure incremental customers through their experimental design.

Authors

- Martin H. Thelle
- Hans Henrik Woltman
- Benedikte Østergaard
- Nikolaj Tranholm-Mikkelsen

Disclaimer

This report (the “Report”) has been prepared by Implement Consulting Group (Implement). The purpose of this Report is to assess the socio-economic impact of browser-level consent in the EU.

All information in the Report is derived from or estimated by Implement’s analysis using proprietary and publicly available information. Google (“The Company”) has not supplied any company data, nor does it endorse any estimates made in the Report. In addition to the primary market research and publicly available data, Implement’s analysis is based on third-party data provided by the Company. In preparing the Report, Implement has, without independent verification, relied on the accuracy of information made available by the Company. Where information has been obtained from third-party sources and proprietary research, this is clearly referenced in the footnotes. The Report is based on work conducted in March 2026. Implement will not make any representation or warranty as to the correctness, accuracy or completeness of the contents of the Report or as to the sufficiency and/or suitability thereof for the Company’s or the reader’s purposes, nor does Implement assume any liability to the Company, the reader or any other legal entities for any losses or damages resulting from the use of any part of the information in the Report. The information contained herein is subject to change, completion or amendment without notice. In furnishing the Report, Implement undertakes no obligation to provide the Company with access to any additional information.